Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,162	SACKS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kidest Bahta	2125
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Kidest Bahta</u> .	(3) <u>Jerry Sacks</u> .	
(2) <u>Wendy Buskop</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>よれんら</u>		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	<b>;</b> ]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed: Francis et al. US 6,600,418.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant Presented an a claimed invention</u> . <u>Examiner indicated that full consideration when it filed in a formal response</u> .	arguments that the cited refend	ce is different from the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SHEET.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, Y	been filed, APPLICANT IS / DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
: :		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
:		
:		
; ;		$\wedge$
		a lake
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature if required